Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,827	BABIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) *Tim Heitbrink*.

(2) *Linda Alcorn*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *1 November 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
13, 35, 44, 46, 49, 51, 54

Prior art documents discussed:
Of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Alcorn agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made in order to provide proper antecedent basis.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)